AFTA-WFIRST Project technical update

Outline

• Wide field instrument update
  – Overall approach, Cycle3 liens, and changes
  – Cycle4 grism \{preliminary\}
  – Cycle4 IFU (more compact, same performance)
  – Cycle4 filter set & temperature trade

• Instrument carrier redesign

• Observatory STOP analysis status & plan
  – STOP == structural/thermal/optical performance
Wide field instrument update

Overall approach, Cycle3 liens, and changes

- **Approach:** look for simpler telescope and wide field optical elements and system; separate coronagraph and wide field better to create viable thermal and mechanical interfaces

- **Liens from cycle3:**
  - Latch locations {both instruments}
  - Instrument carrier thermal interface
  - Complex forms, symmetry lost for T1, T2, M3, filter
  - Electrical interface between the main instrument volume and the instrument electronics volume was not optimized.
  - Red wavelength limit & temperature implications for WF
AFTA-WFIRST cycle 4 payload optical redesign

• Following the completion of the Report, we have taken the next step towards an overall optimization of the payload
  – Addressing liens on the initial design, E.g. coronagraph/WFI thermal and mechanical interface issues
  – Fairly high complexity of some of the optics, e.g. WFI M3, filters
  – Desire to keep T2 closer (w/in mechanical range) of the axis
  – Long optical path for WFI IFU
  – High complexity on Coronagraph tertiary

• We present here the global solution, as a progress report, with much work still in progress or to come

• Elevator version of result:
  – Telescope is again coaxial
  – Powered mirrors (T1, T2, M3) all on-axis conics; filters spherical
  – Wide field of view is arced 6x3 instead of rectangular
  – Science performance unchanged to first order
  – Coronagraph field is moved from on axis to off axis with better mechanical and thermal interfaces; possibly improved optical I/F
  – Because of Δconic, T1 radius of curvature changes very slightly to minimize required Δsag {6μm}
FOV diagram

• ‘smile’ shape to overall FOV
  – "smile" shape rotates with S/C roll (so smile in Spring is frown in Fall); may have slight impact on efficiency for repeat visits to a field, such as SNIa discovery, µlensing

• Relative Location of coronagraph is shown {IFU not finalized yet}

Channel field layout for AFTA-WFIRST instruments {cycle4, 7/19/13}

- Field Axis
- IFU field 0.15° from axis
- 6x3 H4RG @ 0.11"/p, 0.28 sq.deg
- Sun shield center at 57.5 deg to WFI, 65 deg to coronagraph

6x3 H4RG @ 0.11"/p, 0.28 sq.deg

- Center of field is 0.617° off axis

- X gaps 2.5mm
- Y gaps 8.546 & 2.5 mm

- 0.788° wide
- 0.408° high
Instrument ray trace views
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Imager performance

- Actual WFE RMS on Individual Sensors
Imager Performance

- Comparative Polychromatic Spot Sizes
Performance cost of rectangularizing field layout

WFIRST AFTA WFI v.4.2.0 Arced Field
(max. 47 nm polychromatic RMS WFE shown)

Baseline cycle

WFIRST AFTA WFI v.4.2.0 Rectangular Field
(max. 90 nm polychromatic RMS WFE shown)

Conic M3 costs 2x in WFE

WFIRST AFTA WFI v.4.2 w/Anamorphic M3
(max. 6 nm polychromatic RMS WFE shown)

More complex M3 still costs 50% in WFE, out of spec
Simpler, 3 element grism design w/ improved performance

Element1 – corrector prism 1
Material: Fused silica
1st surface is a sphere
2nd surface is a binary lens on flat surface

Element 3 – transmission grating
Material: Fused silica
1st surface is a sphere
2nd surface is flat & contains a variable line space grating

Element2 – corrector prism 2
Material: CaF2
Both surfaces are spheres

Incident light
Wavefront is improved; close to diffraction limited

Worst field Cycle3 performance was $\sim0.16\ \lambda$ at 1.35\textmu m, $0.11\ \lambda$ at 1.95\textmu m [off scale here]
Significant improvement in performance could lead to margin on redshift accuracy
Significant improvement in performance could lead to margin on redshift accuracy
Significant improvement in performance could lead to margin on redshift accuracy
Spectral dispersion

Spot Diagram
Grism Summary and path forward

• The three element design uses binary surface to compensate the portion of the aberration created by the grating for which the amount of aberration is linear to wavelength. This aberration is very difficult to compensate using non-diffractive optics.

• The performance is very close to diffraction limited except a few fields at shortest wavelength. Fine tuning may be able to make everything diffraction limited.

• All elements are buildable.

• Next step is fine tuning the design. It may be possible to simplify to only 2 elements.

• Search for potential vendor to make samples for non-spherical surfaces.

• Study the test methods; plan fabrication, alignment, and test of a prototype.
Filter set and temperature trades

WFI red edge:

- Notional filter set if we extend red science cutoff to 2.4µm [2.4µm filter, 2.5µm red edge of nominal detector response]
- It has been pointed out that the reddest R~4 and the µlensing wide filters would have high in-band internal emissions from a 270 K telescope
- For cycle4, we are leaving the IFU and Grism bandpasses as for cycle3, and leaving the filter set TBD – the # of filters is the same and there is no coupling of the filter bandpass to other elements of the engineering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>center</th>
<th>width</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Overlap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z088</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y109</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>1.242</td>
<td>1.094</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J136</td>
<td>1.179</td>
<td>1.547</td>
<td>1.363</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H170</td>
<td>1.469</td>
<td>1.927</td>
<td>1.698</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K212</td>
<td>1.830</td>
<td>2.400</td>
<td>2.115</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W167</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>2.400</td>
<td>1.673</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRS</td>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>1.950</td>
<td>1.650</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potential filter set for 250K telescope temperature, 2.5µm detector cutoff
Cycle4 IFU

- No change in requirements from April report
  - E.g. not pushing for redder cutoff than 2.0µm
- Desire for more compact overall form – optical path length from intermediate telescope focus to slicer was ~3m
- Result is good optical performance, shorter path
- Relay field is larger (~4x in area), enabling future trade study on IFU form:
  - Slicer based (most mature, TRL~6)
  - {rad hard} Fiber based [lots of ground heritage]
  - Micro-optic [mirrorlet or lenslet] based
  - Goal would be even more compact but potentially larger field

Shorter IFU relay [slicer & spectrograph similar to Cycle3]
IFU Layout

- Top View
IFU Layout

- Perspective View
IFU Layout

- End View
Thermal design for cutoff >2.0um
[study per SDT/project charter]

Temperature of FPA and FPA temperature stability:

- 2.4um needs colder FPA to limit dark current
  - Intermediate cutoffs would need $T_{FPA}$ in range 90-120K
- Cycle3 WFI FPA stability requirement was 0.3K over >300sec, 10mK up to 300sec.
- We have started gathering data on the telescope hardware to ascertain its ability to operate below 270 deg. Work in progress.
- To get colder FPA, we introduced for Cycle4 a low vibration cryocooler
  - Evolved version of the Turbo Brayton cooler used on HST/NICMOS
    - Very low [not measurable] incremental jitter on HST
    - Consistent with serviceability [no cooling h/w across interface]
    - Sufficient power, with margin, to hold 90K FPA to ~mK stability
Cooler not expected to add jitter

- This cooler has no harmonics in 1-1000 Hz; disturbance model simply two flat sections; 1 and 10 mN levels shown are << levels from JWST cooler hardware.
Instrument carrier redesign

- Redesign to improve structural performance and allow more stable metering of instruments to telescope
STOP Analysis: Status & Plan

- Preliminary Structural/Thermal/Optical Performance [STOP] on-orbit stability results for the Telescope, Carrier, WF Channel, and Coronagraph Interface are targeted to be available for presentation at ACWG#2.

- Tracking of WF Channel delta WFE/LOS/PSF_ellipticity on 1-hr intervals over a 24-hour period will provide a quick look assessment
  - followed with in-depth examination of the more sensitive periods after the initial results have been studied and validated.

- In addition to the WFI Linear Optical Model-based assessments noted above, the following raw data will be made available at hourly intervals for specialized post-processing:
  - 6-dof ideal optical element rigid body motions and low order shape distortions;
  - Motions of the coronagraph optical bench kinematic mounting latch points on the Carrier relative to the initial cooled position.

- No one orbit/attitude can fully assess Payload thermal absolute shifts and stabilities.
  - The orbit chosen is a B=11° hot case with no eclipses, with a fixed attitude chosen to place the Telescope LOS as close to the orbit plane as allowed by stray light requirements (38.9°) while locating the WF channel radiator normals as close to the orbit plane as required by uL ops concept (33.92° vs Hirata uL rqt of ≤28°; for HLS ≤47.5° is OK).
  - The Solar Array is normal to the Sun for this case, with pitch/roll effects to be studied in the near future.
backup
## Configuration optics comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>cycle3/4-13 report</th>
<th>current / cycle4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCA layout</td>
<td>6x3</td>
<td>6x3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coronagraph field location</td>
<td>on axis</td>
<td>off axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of view shape</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
<td>arced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1, T2 optical prescription</td>
<td>12th order</td>
<td>conic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 position</td>
<td>decentered &amp; tilted</td>
<td>coaxial with T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 optical prescription</td>
<td>anamorphic asphere</td>
<td>conic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 position</td>
<td>decentered</td>
<td>coaxial with T1 &amp; T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter optical prescription</td>
<td>Zernike sphere</td>
<td>sphere</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IFU Performance

- Shortest Wavelength (0.6µm)

Surface IMA: Detector Array

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration Matrix Spot Diagram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WFIRST Cycle 4 IFU Relay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/9/2013   Units are µm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airy Radius: 215 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale bar : 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference : Chief Ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v4-2-1 08-20-13 D.ZMX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration: All 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IFU Performance

- Longest Wavelength (2µm)
Cycle4 filter set

- This really can’t be settled until we have a better handle on potential reduction [from 270K] in telescope temperature
- If telescope temperature stays at 270K, the filter set from cycle3 would probably remain the same
- Below is a notional filter set for a 250K telescope temperature, should we decide the risk is acceptable
- Substantial planning ongoing by the telescope team to gather data on going colder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>center</th>
<th>width</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Overlap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z088</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y109</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>1.242</td>
<td>1.094</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J136</td>
<td>1.179</td>
<td>1.547</td>
<td>1.363</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H170</td>
<td>1.469</td>
<td>1.927</td>
<td>1.698</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K212</td>
<td>1.830</td>
<td>2.400</td>
<td>2.115</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W167</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>2.400</td>
<td>1.673</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRS</td>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>1.950</td>
<td>1.650</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potential filter set for 250K telescope temperature, 2.5µm detector cutoff
Plan for maturing [qualifying] Turbo-Brayton cooler

1. A turbo-Brayton cooler using the same technology was successfully flown on HST and worked for 6+ years.
   - It was turned off because it was deemed to be no longer useful by STScI.
2. The basic design of the AFTA-WFIRST cooler will be very similar to the HST design because the performance required (heat lift and ultimate temperature) are similar.
3. The exact implementation will use current machining/manufacturing techniques and EEE parts.
4. AFTA-WFIRST will allow for a completely sealed system, which improves reliability.
   - HST by necessity had a final cooling loop that was not sealed until the unit was on-orbit, leading to some concerns about contamination in the plumbing.
5. The exact thermal, mechanical, and electrical characteristics will be optimized for the AFTA-WFIRST configuration.
6. A engineering test unit will be built to ensure proper qualification of the AFTA-WFIRST configuration. Life testing can be included if we start early enough.